

AUG. 11. 2005 1:33PM

PERMAN & GREEN, LLP

NO. 712 P. 1

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

AUG 11 2005

Facsimile Message From
Law Offices
PERMAN & GREEN, LLP
425 Post Road
Fairfield, Connecticut 06824 USA

Facsimile Number: (203) 255-5170

Telephone Number: (203) 259-1800

TO: USPTO

FAX NO: 571 273-8300

MAILSTOP AF

DATE: August 11, 2005

FROM: Geza Ziegler Jr.

RE: 09/848,515

P&G Reference: 617-010289-US(PAR)

Examiner Minh D. Dao

Art Unit 2683

Number of Pages, including this sheet, being transmitted: **16** pages

Request: 5 pages

Exhibit A: 9 pages

Exhibit B: 1 page

> Please confirm receipt of this transmission

☐ The original of this facsimile will be sent to you via mail

*Received 3 pgs
out of 16
p. 3 cut-off*

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE
AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any use or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this transmission in error please notify us immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the documents to us at no cost to you.

AUG. 11. 2005 1:33PM

PERMAN & GREEN, LLP

NO. 712 P. 2

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 11 2005

APPLICANT(s): Matti Kantola

SERIAL NO.: 09/848,515

ART UNIT: 2683

FILING DATE: 05/03/2001

EXAMINER: Minh D. Dao

TITLE: COMMUNICATION DEVICES AND METHOD OF
COMMUNICATION

ATTORNEY

DOCKET NO.: 617-010289-US (PAR)

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

I. INTRODUCTION

This is in response to the Advisory Action mailed on June 29, 2005 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

II. REMARKS

Reconsideration and entry of Applicant's declaration under 37 C.F.R §1.131 is respectfully solicited. The declaration and supporting documents clearly establish that the reference Wang, U.S. Patent No. 6,175, 922, ("Wang"), first cited in the Office Action mailed December 2, 2004, is not a proper prior art reference for purposes of 35 U.S.C. §102(e). The response was timely filed and received by the USPTO. Therefore, the Office Action and rejection of December 2, 2004 is overcome.

Applicant's response to the Office action of December 2, 2004, mailed on May 19, 2005, included Applicant's §1.131 declaration and supporting evidence. The supporting evidence was in the form of an Invention Report. Proof that these documents were timely submitted include the Certificate of Mailing, certifying that the filing occurred on May 19, 2005, as well as the stamped postcard. The "Postcard" indicates receipt by the United States Patent and Trademark Office OIPE on May 23, 2005. Thus, it is submitted that the response and all of the documents referenced in the response were timely filed and received by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 23, 2005. A copy of the response as filed is attached hereto

BEST AVAILABLE COPY